



Republic of the Philippines
Professional Regulation Commission
Manila

**The Professional Regulatory
Board of Architecture
(PRBoA)**



Your Ref:

Our Ref: 07_PRBoA-000

22 May 2007

Hon. Jesse M. Robredo
Mayor
City of Naga
Camarines Sur, Region V

Dear Mayor Robredo:

Greetings and congratulations on your recent victory!

The Professional Regulatory Board of Architecture (PRBoA) is confident that you and your subalterns have already carefully studied the contents of the PRBoA position paper, which you received in late April 2007. You are now fully aware of your statutory mandate to implement/enforce R.A. No. 9266 ("The Architecture Act of 2004") in your LGU. The said PRBoA paper has clarified that that the court-issued writ of preliminary injunction of May 2005 is not applicable to R.A. No. 9266, its IRR, and derivative regulations.

The said injunction covering portions of Secs. 302.3 and 302.4 of the 2004 Revised IRR (amere executive issuance consisting of lists of documents to accompany a building permit application) of P.D. No. 1096 (The National Building Code of the Philippines), was issued by the court many months before R.A No. 9266 became part of the case filed by civil engineers (CEs) against DPWH Secretary. The court has not yet amended the injunction to encompass R.A. No. 9266 or any of its provisions.

Please be advised that neither DPWH nor the DILG is an entity that regulates the law on architectural practice. Only the PRBoA under the administrative control and supervision of the Professional Regulation Commission (PRC) is vested with such regulatory powers. The DPWH order/s allowing CEs to sign and seal architectural documents is not in consonance with the provision of R.A. No. 9266 (at least not on the real Sec. 302 of P.D. No. 1096).

Given the position that you have reportedly taken on the above matter, the PRBoA is constrained to officially remind you of your statutory duty and obligation to implement and enforce R.A. No. 9266. The PRBoA trusts that you will do the appropriate action pursuant to such statute. The PRBoA and the PRC under R.A. No. 8981 ("The PRC Modernization Act of 2000") humbly remonstrate your cooperation in the enforcement of the concerned professional regulatory laws and the rules and regulations thereof.

Thank You. We hope that with your recent victory and with a little more time on your hands, your office's proper response and address to this vital and urgent matter may now be expected.

Very truly yours,

ARMANDO N. ALLÍ
Chairman

CGA/mst
boalet