



Republic of the Philippines
Professional Regulation Commission
Manila

The Professional Regulatory
Board of Architecture
(PRBoA)



Your Ref:

Our Ref: 07_PRBoA-078

08 October 2007

HON. LEONOR TRIPON-ROSERO

Chairperson
Professional Regulation Commission (PRC)
City of Manila

SUBJECT : **REQUEST FOR THE PRC TO COMPEL THE PRBOCE TO FACE THE PRBOA AND THE PRC TO OFFICIALLY STATE ITS POSITION ON THE PREPARATION, SIGNING AND SEALING OF ARCHITECTURAL DOCUMENTS (ARCHITECTURAL PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND CONTRACT DOCUMENTS)**

Dear Madam,

Warm greetings! We feel sorry for the recent turn of events (indirect contempt case filed by the PICE against the undersigned), but before the current situation evolves into a legal battle between the **PRBoA** and the **PRBoCE**, which could embroil the PRC in controversy, the **PRBoA** and the **PRBoA** Chairman requests that the PRC compel the **PRBoCE** to face the **PRBoA** so that the official PRBoCE position could be ascertained.

Other than the powerpoint presentation of the PRBoCE Chairman currently posted on the PICE website, which contain many inaccurate statements that tend to mislead PICE members, entities in national and local governance and the general public, and his 10 September 2007 letter to the DILG, nothing more is known about the PRBoCE position on the matter of the preparation, signing and sealing of **architectural** documents, which the **PRBoCE** and the PICE refer to as part of **building plans**.

The **PRBoCE** cannot now invoke the *subjudice* principle to state its official position as their posted/ disseminated material on the PICE website already appear to be attempts to expand the scope and application of the 24 May 2005 injunction on Secs. 302.3 and 302.4 of the 2004 Revised Implementing Rules and Regulations (R-IRR) of the 1977 National Building Code of the Philippines/ NBCP (otherwise known as P.D. No. 1096), and may even be construed as contributory acts to subvert the implementation and enforcement of R.A. No. 9266, its IRR and derivative regulations.

More importantly, the **PRBoA** must ascertain the **PRBoCE**'s role in current efforts by the PICE to frustrate the effective implementation and enforcement of R.A. No. 9266. The **PRBoCE** answers shall largely determine what kind of legal action the PRBoA shall take, possibly even against the **PRBoCE** itself, but only on an absolute need basis.

As You may well know, the **PRBoA** under my watch has tried to maintain its regulatory function by being independent of (and yet collaborating on) the implementation and enforcement initiatives of the regulatee UAP-IAPOA. The **PRBoA** hopes that the **PRBoCE** is also able to completely maintain its independence from the PICE.

Thank You very much for the consideration You shall give this very important matter.

Yours sincerely,

for the PRBoA

ORIGINAL SIGNED

Armando N. ALLÍ
Chairman

file: 07_PRBoA-078
